Reasoning about Causality in Games

Lewis Hammond¹, James Fox¹, Tom Everitt², Ryan Carey¹, Alessandro Abate¹, Michael Wooldridge¹ ¹University of Oxford, ²DeepMind

Motivation

- We want to make AI systems safer, fairer, and better at cooperating (in multi-agent settings).
- Therefore, we want to predict the behaviour of agents as a result of their objectives and the environment.
- The causal structure of an agent's environment determines important aspects of an agent's behaviour.

Causal Queries

- Unlike in standard causal models, queries in games:
 - Can be made with or without agents' awareness Ο (characterised as pre- or post-policy queries in the mechanised game, respectively).
 - Are best conceptualised as first-order, where the Ο policy profile π is a free variable, typically belonging to some set of rational outcomes, e.g., $\varphi(\pi) \equiv Pr^{\pi}(u_{d_1}^1)$ and max_{π∈NE(M)}φ(π)≥p

Contributions

- We introduce (structural) causal games, generalising:
 - **Causal Bayesian Networks and Structural Causal** Ο Models [4] to the game-theoretic domain.
 - Multi-agent influence diagrams [3] to the causal Ο domain.
- We introduce mechanism variables to these models in order to represent strategic dependencies.
- We show how causal games can be used to answer







Description of Examples

various kinds of associative, interventional, and counterfactual queries.

Models

- A multi-agent influence diagram (MAID) $M = (G, \theta)$ specifies:
 - a graph G = (N, V, E) with players N, vertices V = X
 - $∪ {Dⁱ}_{i \in N} ∪ {Uⁱ}_{i \in N} and edges E ⊂ V × V$ $o parameters θ = {θ_v}_{V \in X ∪ U} that define CPDs$ Pr(x,u:d) = Π_{V ∈ X ∪ U} Pr(v | pa_v; θ_v) for everynon-decision variable.
- A (structural) causal game is a MAID M = (G, θ) such that for any (deterministic) parameterisation of the decision variable CPDs π , the induced model with distribution $Pr^{\pi}(V)$ is a CBN (SCM).
- Mechanised games explicitly represent the CPDs θ and the decision rules π .



		Examples	
	Post-Policy	Pre-Policy	
Associative	$\Pr^{\pi}(u^1 \mid d_1)$	$\Pr(u^2 \mid \bar{\pi}_{D^1})$	
Interventional	$\Pr^{\pi}(u_{d_1}^1)$	$\Pr(u_{\hat{\pi}_{D^1}}^2)$	
Counterfactual	$\Pr^{\pi}(u_{d_1}^1 \mid \neg d_1)$	$\Pr(u_{\bar{\pi}_{D1}}^2 \mid \tilde{\pi}_{D^1})$	

Mechanised MAID

Applications

MAID

- Formal definitions of important philosophical concepts such as agency, incentives, intention, blame, manipulation, signaling, social influence, harm, threats and offers, etc.
- Mechanism design and economic analysis.

References

- 1. A. P. Dawid, "Influence Diagrams for Causal Modelling and Inference," International Statistical Review (70:2), pp. 161–189. International Statistical Institute (ISI). 2002.
- L. Hammond, J. Fox, T. Everitt, A. Abate, and M. Wooldridge, "Equilibrium Refinements for Multi-Agent Influence Diagrams: Theory and Practice," AAMAS-21, pp. 574-582. 2021.
- D. Koller and B. Milch, "Multi-agent Influence Diagrams for Representing and 3. Solving Games," Games and Economic Behavior (45:1), pp. 181–221. Elsevier. 2003.
- J. Pearl, Causality. Cambridge University Press. 2009 4.

Subgames and Equilibrium Refinements

- A Nash equilibrium is a policy profile such that no agent has an incentive to unilaterally deviate.
- A subgame is a part of the full game that can be solved independently from the rest.
- A subgame perfect equilibrium is a nash equilibrium in every (feasible) subgame.
- Since more subgames can be identified in MAIDs than in extensive form games, subgame perfect equilibria can rule out more non-credible threats.

Acknowledgements

Lewis Hammond was supported by an EPSRC Doctoral Training Partnership studentship (Reference: 2218880), James Fox was supported by the EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training in Autonomous Intelligent Machines and Systems (Reference: EP/S024050/1), and Michael Wooldridge was supported by a UKRI Turing AI World Leading Researcher Fellowship (Reference: EP/WOO2949/1)



Full paper available here **Contact:** james.fox@cs.ox.ac.uk